

Decarbonization Research Consortium

WELCOME

7 Sept 2023 Center for Naval Analyses

nps.edu/decarb



Decarbonization Research Consortium Meeting 7 Sept 2023 / 1 – 4 pm ET / 10 am – 1 pm PT Agenda

1 - 1:40 ET	Welcome/Introductions		
	Welcome from Center from Naval Analysis, Ron Filadelfo		
	Consortium Overview, Mark Spector		
1:40 – 2:30	Presentation of Research Roadmap, Bill Muras		
2:30 – 2:50	Discussion of Consortium Next Steps – FY24		
2:50 – 3:00	Break		
3:00 – 3:35	PS Student Research Presentation itle: A calculator for measuring the carbon emissions of Navy ships PS Student team: Ellen Huang, Ron Rizzo, Nouha Elwarraki, Yolanda riendly, Richard Hammond		
3:35 – 3:55	Closing comments, Jim Caley		
3:55 – 4:00	Admin/Homework/Conclusion		



2020s

Navy Decarbonization Research Consortium

Advance the Technology

Operational Navy Decarbonization Roadmap and Year 1 Research Agenda

Test and Integrate

7 Sept 2023

2040s

Prepared by:
Naval Postgraduate School
Energy Academic Group

Accelerate and Scale



Executive Summary

- Navy will only pursue decarbonization measures that maintain or enhance warfighting capability and mission effectiveness
- Decarbonizing Navy ships requires R&D to enable integration of: 1) lower carbon fuels, 2) alternative propulsion systems, 3) efficiency enhancing technologies, and 4) carbon capture and storage processes
- Continued emphasis on demand reduction and efficiency technologies are crucial to early emission reduction efforts
- Increased and ongoing collaboration with DOE, DOT and across the Navy is critical to meeting the decarbonization challenge
- Key objectives of this initial effort:
 - Assessed and identified research focus areas for highest potential to enable <u>Navy ship</u> decarbonization
 - Solicited and accepted proposals for Year 1 Research Agenda
 - Developed decarbonization roadmap structure and initial roadmap to guide research and lay the foundation for future efforts
 - Identified key next steps required to advance the research agenda and develop potential technology transition
- Other key points:
 - Majority of current Navy investment supporting decarbonization objectives has been with the goal of increasing combat capability and addressing contested logistics challenges
 - Integrating new technologies on naval platforms is a challenge; <u>decarbonization is a unique challenge</u>





Decarbonization Roadmap

Background

- Mission Statement & Objective
- Why Decarbonize?
- Directives & Key Guidance Documents
- Navy Emissions
- Navy Unique Challenge

Core Year 1 Efforts

- Decarbonization Thrusts for Navy Operations
- Assessment of Current Activities & Research Focus Areas
- Year 1 Research Agenda

Moving Forward

- Advance the Technology S&T Evolution
 - Collaboration Strategy
 - Roadmap Key Actions



Mission Statement & Objective

Mission Statement

- The Navy Decarbonization Research Consortium is a public-private collaboration with the goal to advance interdisciplinary research to help the Navy meet the <u>complex challenges of platform</u> <u>decarbonization</u>, with a focus on <u>ships and aircraft</u>
- The Consortium will evaluate and identify technologies that show <u>promise for adaptation</u> on naval platforms and <u>accelerate adoption</u> as appropriate

Objective

- Establish a consortium of individuals, institutions and companies to address the Navy platform decarbonization challenge, whose membership and structure is adaptable over time
- Develop a Decarbonization Research Agenda for ONR that includes interdisciplinary research and analysis, and identify areas for potential research opportunity
- Continue the Consortium collaboration addressing the complex problems of platform decarbonization, evolve the Roadmap as technologies and new research avenues are identified, and identify technology transition opportunities





Why Decarbonize?

IPCC Reporting


- Human activities, principally through emissions of greenhouse gases, have unequivocally caused global warming, with global surface temperature reaching 1.1°C above 1850-1900 in 2011-2020
- The likelihood of abrupt and irreversible changes and the severity of their impacts increases with higher global warming levels
- Limiting human-caused global warming requires net zero CO₂ emissions

US Intelligence Reporting (US Annual Threat Assessment)


Climate change will increasingly <u>exacerbate risks to U.S. national</u>
 <u>security</u> interests as the physical impacts increase and geopolitical
 tensions mount regarding the global response

DoD Climate Risk Analysis

- <u>Climate change presents serious risks</u>, but DoD, along with the entire U.S. government, as well as our allies and partners, is determined to address this common threat
- DoD will work to prevent, mitigate, and respond to the defense and security risks associated with climate change



National Intelligence Council report, Climate Change and International Responses Increasing Challenges to US National Security Through 2040, 2021





IPCC AR6 Synthesis Report (SYR), 2023





Directives & Key Guidance Documents





Primary References

- EO 14008 Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad (*Jan 2021*)
- DoD Climate Adaptation Plan (Sept 2021)
- DoD Climate Risk Analysis (Oct 2021)
- EO 14057 Catalyzing Clean Energy Industries and Jobs Through Federal Sustainability (*Dec 2021*)
- Federal Sustainability Plan (Dec 2021)
- DoN Climate Action 2030 (May 2022)

Secondary References

- US National Blueprint for Transportation
 Decarbonization (Jan 2023)
- FAA Aviation Climate Action Plan (2021)
- IMO GHG Strategy (2018)
- DOE Hydrogen Shot (June 2021)
- U.S. National Clean Hydrogen Strategy & Roadmap (June 2023)
- Clean Fuels & Products Earthshot (June 2023)
- DOE SAF Grand Challenge (Sept 2022)
- USAF Climate Action Plan (Oct 2022)
- US Army Climate Strategy (Feb 2022)
- USCG Climate Framework (Jan 2023)
- Net Zero Efforts Across World Militaries (NPS 2023)






Navy Emissions

- FY21 DON emissions were ~16.7M metric tons CO2e
 - ~70% operational, ~30% installations
 - Operational emissions ~50/50 split between jet fuel (JP-5) & ship fuel (F-76)

- ***Significant reductions in energy use have been realized since FY08
 - Majority of reduction is attributable to reduced operating hours
 & lower speed
 - Energy demand is expected to increase going forward
 - Past trendline is not indicative of future trajectory
- A portfolio of technologies and solutions will be required to address this problem – no single approach will do

Figure 4.1: DoD Total Energy Consumption as Percent of Federal Total, DoD Total Energy Consumption as Percent OE vs IE, and DoD Service-level Installation Facility Energy Use


Army
37% Army
37% Air
Porce





Navy Unique Challenge


- Platforms in-service/in-design today will be in the fleet <u>past</u>
 <u>2050</u>, with conventional diesel and gas turbine engines
- Energy demand is increasing, due to growth in new platforms (including unmanned vessels) and increased onboard energy demand
- Navy ships must maintain ability to <u>refuel underway</u> and at <u>remote</u> locations – we operate <u>forward</u>, <u>distributed</u>, and in <u>contested</u> environments
- Must maintain <u>interoperability</u> with partners and allies
- Space, weight, power, and cooling <u>margins are limited</u>
- Integration of technologies on new and existing ships must meet <u>strict standards</u> (MIL-STD and Technical Warrant Reviews)
- Must focus on retrofitting the current fleet <u>and</u> informing future platform design and construction





Decarbonization Thrusts for Navy Operations

- Roadmap structure developed to potentially serve as a <u>framework</u> for broader Navy decarbonization efforts
 - Informed by numerous decarbonization and Net Zero products, to ensure alignment with Navy, other government and commercial strategies
 - Current efforts focused on <u>maritime platform decarbonization</u>
- Consortium identified <u>five (5) major decarbonization thrust areas</u>, supported by four (4) cross-cutting initiatives
 - Also developed Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) levels of potential emissions reduction impact for Navy platforms







Decarbonization Thrusts – Detail

	Approx Impact to Operational Navy Decarbonization (%)	Category	Sub-Category
Major Thrust Areas	0% - 15%	Energy Efficiency	Propulsive efficiency improvements & direct drag reduction Propulsion & power generation improvements Electrification, hybridization & energy storage Waste Heat Recovery (WHR) Electric load reduction Lightweight materials
	0% - 15%	Operational Efficiency Improvements	Route optimization Plant line-up & speed optimization (single generator ops, etc.) Trim optimization
	TBD	Force Structure	New/emerging CONOPS (to include manned/unmanned teaming) Mission optimized future platforms (including unmanned, attritable, single-use assets, etc.)
	0% - 100%	Fuel Technologies: Production, Distribution, Storage and/or Use	Blended or drop-in fuels (bio-, renewable-) Non drop-in liquid fuels (ammonia, methanol, etc.) Hydrogen Batteries Nuclear Renewable energy Fuel cell technology
	TBD	Carbon Capture: Distribution, Use and Storage	Shipboard Terrestrial Other emissions capture/reduction (high GWP refrigerants, etc.)





Assessment of Current Activities & Research Focus Areas

- Assessment of Current Activities
 - Navy prioritizes investment in efficiency, electrification, and force structure enabling employment and sustainment of combat capabilities
 - Navy investment in lower carbon fuels and carbon capture technologies are primarily focused on 1) fuel generation in theater and 2) qualification of new alternative fuel pathways
 - DOE active across all relevant sectors, funding fuels R&D under several focused projects:
 - SAF Grand Challenge
 - Energy Earthshot Clean Fuels and Production
 - Zero-Emissions Shipping Mission (ZESM)
 - DOT partnered with DOE on ZESM and is active in maritime decarbonization with a focus on Low Carbon Fuels, Electrification, Energy Efficiency, Carbon Capture, and Green Shipping Corridors
- Year 1 Research Agenda Focus Areas
 - *Use* of lower carbon fuels in Navy relevant prime movers
 - Shipboard carbon capture technologies
 - Energy efficiency technologies
 - Modelling and analysis of energy systems, ship design process and systems architecture
 - Operational Efficiency and Force Structure were not prioritized for Year 1 efforts

Major Decarbonization Thrusts

Addressing long-term climate impacts and sustainment challenges

Addressing near-

Energy Efficiency

term Contested Logistic Challenges

> Operational Efficiency

Force Structure

Fuel Technologies

Carbon Capture





Year 1 Research Agenda

Fuel Technologies & Carbon Capture

- Collaboration of USC, CSU, PSU, USNA
 - University of South Carolina: Fuel Flexible Gas Turbine Technology Integrated with Exhaust Gas Recirculation and Hydrogen Carrier Fuels
 - Colorado State University: Liquid-Fueled Solar Centaur 40 Gas Turbine Testing with High EGR Fraction to Support Carbon Capture System Integration
 - Penn State University: Fuel Flexible Gas Turbine Technology Integrated with Carbon Capture and Utilization
 - USNA: Working Towards Zero-Carbon Naval Energy Technologies with Midshipmen at the USNA
- University of Wisconsin: Enabling mixing-controlled combustion of low carbon fuels in naval reciprocating engines
- University of Illinois: Sustainable Power for Decarbonization of Naval Vessels

Energy Efficiency: Electrification & Hybridization

NSWC Philadelphia: Evaluation of Propulsion Derived Ship Service and Weapons Power to Support Decarbonization

Cross-Cutting: Modeling - Energy Systems, Ship Design, System Architecture


- Collaboration of GWU, NPS, ABS, USNA
 - George Washington University: Energy Systems Modeling, Prediction, and Planning Tool for Navy Decarbonization Technologies
- NPS: Trade space exploration for climate impact and quality attributes for Navy ships
- NPS: High-level system architecture, modeling and performance evaluation of a fleet of green-energy ships producing hydroelectric energy and hydrogen at sea






Advance the Technology – S&T Evolution

- Take initial steps towards transitioning solutions onto Navy platforms
 - Identify transition/integration pathway(s) and begin to document as evolution plan
 - Assess and elevate technology readiness level (TRL)
 - Continually assess impacts to decarbonization objectives





Major Decarbonization Thrusts & 2030s 2020s 2040s **Potential Reduction Impact** Advance the Technology **Energy Efficiency** 0-15% Applied Research in maritime Test and Integrate decarbonization **Operational Efficiency** 0-15% Integration and demonstrate Accelerate and Scale Feasibility assessments in operational environment **Concept Development** Integrate across all intended Navy and MIL-STD Testing Force Structure TBD % **Technology Development** applications Ship Integration **Component Testing** Increase production Measurement & Prioritizing: **Fuel Technologies** 0-100% Accelerate integration Validation (M&V) - Large Surface Combatants Production, Distribution, Storage & Use Measure performance **Tech Warrant Approval** - Large Combat Logistics Engage industry reps Carbon Capture TBD % - Large Amphibious Ships Distribution, Storage & Use - Future propulsion systems Whole Ship & System Level **Ship Integration & Scaling** Modeling, Testing & **Cross Cutting Initiatives Education & Training Design Considerations** for Shipboard Use **Demonstrations**



Collaboration Strategy


- Develop and mature a robust collaboration strategy, with <u>six</u> core communities
 - Current Consortium group foster increased collaboration amongst Consortium members
 - Internal Navy and other DoD operational energy, climate and resilience constituencies
 - Other USG Agencies DOE/ARPA-E, DOT, and others (e.g. NASA, EPA, NOAA, etc.)
 - International partners NATO, TTCP*, Pacific Islands Partnership, etc.
 - Industry potentially via the DOT/MARAD U.S. Center for Maritime Innovation (in work)
 - Academia identify leading researchers in other technology areas
- Two major goals for collaboration activities are:
 - Better understand ongoing efforts and identify most impactful areas of research to guide and prioritize future years' research agenda
 - Identify appropriate Navy "role" in various thrust areas, considering:
 - Leverage government-wide approach and R&D portfolios of other partner entities
 - Navy unique requirements that may not otherwise be addressed

* TTCP – The Technical Cooperation Program (US, UK, Canada, Australia, New Zealand)





Roadmap – Key Actions





Next Steps

- Develop and implement Collaboration Strategy with core partners
 - Continue potential research opportunity identification process
- Execute Year 1 Research Agenda
 - Support required Navy-project team collaboration and data collection
 - Develop project specific S&T evolution pathways with each funded research team
- Assess impact of Year 1 research concepts and technologies to overall Navy decarbonization objectives
 - Further develop Roadmap to include more specific targets, as needed
- Align with other roadmap and data collection efforts
 - Work with other efforts to develop methodology to synthesize R&D and project information across technical areas for efficiency, leverage and gap identification purposes
- Explore applying Consortium model and findings to Aircraft
 - Potentially extend to installations at a later date?





Decarbonization Research Consortium

Path Forward

September Final Roadmap Released

October 16 October 2023, 2 – 4 ET / 11 – 1 PT / ZOOM

Focus on Next Steps & Collaboration

November Date TBD / ZOOM

Tentative: Researcher Check-in Presentations

January/February In-person & Hybrid / Full Day / Location TBD

Focused on Year 1 Research Presentations

nps.edu/decarb